

Appendix A.iii

Table A.iii. Status of Data Gathering on Recorded Contracts for Deeds, Phase I, Fall 2011.

County	County Clerk (CC) Data Received	CAD Data Received	Abstract Data Received (Title Company) (TC)	Data Set Most Helpful	Do data present inconsistency?	Sampled Contracts	Onsite Visit Necessary?	Outstanding Count Performed by Third Party?	Outstanding Count performed by team?	Are Outstanding Counts Consistent?
Cameron	yes	yes	no	CC	No, but totals are likely an undercount	10% of CS and CFD in CC data	yes, for CC data on disk	yes, CAD	yes, 1% CC	yes
El Paso	yes	yes	no	CC	no	10% of COS in CC data	yes	no	yes, 10% CC online	N/A
Hidalgo	yes	yes	no	CAD, with additions from CC set	yes; CC set likely to be an undercount	10% of CS in CAD data and 10% of all CC potentially relevant online categories	yes, to retrieve CC data by disk	yes, CAD	yes, 10% CC online	yes
Maverick	yes	yes	yes	TC	Yes, CAD data difficult to interpret; differences between CC and TC sets attributed to 1% CC sampling technique	10% of CONT in TC set, 1% of relevant CC categories	no	no	yes, using 10% of TC data	N/A
Starr	no	yes, hard copy only	no	N/A	N/A	All contracts located during extensive site visit using all available techniques	Yes, two visits	no	yes, 10% of those located onsite	N/A
Val Verde	yes, hard copy only	yes	yes	CC and TC together	no	100% TC set, 100% of relevant categories in CC onsite	yes, one visit	no	yes, checked 100% of CC-identified contracts for deed in CC system onsite	N/A
Webb	yes	yes	yes	CAD	no	no (see report)	no	yes, CAD	no (see report)	N/A
Bastrop	yes (online only)	yes	no	CC online	no	10% of contract category in CC online	yes, two visits	no	yes, 10% CC online	N/A
Guadalupe	yes	yes	no	CC	no	10% by deed category, then 10% by year by deed category (CC)	yes, four days to search records	yes, CAD	yes, 10% CC on site	yes
Travis	no	yes	yes	TC	no	2 per year in CFD (TC) set, 10% COS in TC,CAD	no	yes, CAD	yes, all CFD (TC) through CC online	no; see report

(See also Appendix A.ii and Chapter 3)